DJ LAND DEVELOPMENT

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SADDLER STREET EAST, DURHAM MUNICIPALITY OF WEST GREY

JANUARY 2024

COBIDE Engineering Inc 517 10th Street Hanover, ON N4N 1R4 TEL: 519-506-5959 www.cobideeng.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

i

1.	INTRODUCTION
1.1	Location
1.2	Development Proposal3
1.3	Scope Of Work
1.4	Background Information4
2.	DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS 6
2.1	Regional6
2.2	Local
2.3	Soils6
3.	STORMWATER CONTROL
3.1	Design Guidelines
3.2	Methodology for Computing Stormwater Runoff7
4.	EXISTING CONDITIONS
4.1	Pre-Development Catchment Areas8
Catchm	ent Area 1018
Catchm	ent Area 1028
5.	PROPOSED CONDITIONS
5.1	Post Development Catchment Areas9
Catchm	ent Area 2019
Catchm	ent Area 2029
6.	QUANTITY CONTROL10
6.1	
	Design Requirements
6.2	Design Requirements
6.2 6.3	Design Requirements
6.2 6.3 6.4	Design Requirements 10 SWM Facility Characteristics 10 SWM Facility Performance 11 Modelling Results 11
6.2 6.3 6.4 6.4.1	Design Requirements 10 SWM Facility Characteristics 10 SWM Facility Performance 11 Modelling Results 11 Discharge Point #1 11
6.2 6.3 6.4 6.4.1 6.4.2	Design Requirements10SWM Facility Characteristics10SWM Facility Performance11Modelling Results11Discharge Point #111Discharge Point #212
6.2 6.3 6.4 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3	Design Requirements10SWM Facility Characteristics10SWM Facility Performance11Modelling Results11Discharge Point #111Discharge Point #212Modelling Summary12
6.2 6.3 6.4 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3 7.	Design Requirements10SWM Facility Characteristics10SWM Facility Performance11Modelling Results11Discharge Point #111Discharge Point #212Modelling Summary12QUALITY CONTROL13
6.2 6.3 6.4 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3 7.	Design Requirements10SWM Facility Characteristics10SWM Facility Performance11Modelling Results11Discharge Point #111Discharge Point #212Modelling Summary12QUALITY CONTROL13Lot Level Control Measures13
6.2 6.3 6.4 6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3 7. 7. 7.1 7.2	Design Requirements10SWM Facility Characteristics10SWM Facility Performance11Modelling Results11Discharge Point #111Discharge Point #212Modelling Summary12QUALITY CONTROL13Lot Level Control Measures13Conveyance Control Measures13

н	н
	L

8.1 8.2	Construction Stage Lot Development	15 15
8.3	Restoration and Protection Measures	15
9.	CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	16
LIST (OF FIGURES	
igure	1 - Site Location Map	5
IST	OF TABLES	
able 7	.1 – SWM Facility Geometry	10
Table 7 Table 7	.2 – SWM Facility Performance	
vppeno vppeno	01855 – SWM2 – Post Development Catchment Areas lix B – Stormwater Management Modelling SWM Modelling – Pre and Post Development lix C – Background Information	
	Pre-Consultation Meeting Minutes	
Append	lix D – Design Details	
	Oil Grit Separator (OGS)	

1. INTRODUCTION

Cobide Engineering Inc. was retained by DJ Land Development to complete a preliminary stormwater management report in support of a Draft Plan Approval Application. The application will be to subdivide the property into a residential subdivision development.

A copy of the proposed Draft Plan has been included in Appendix A as Drawing 01855-DP1.

1.1 LOCATION

The proposed subdivision development, herein referred to as the Site, is located on Part of Park Lots 13, 14 and 15 on the North Side of Saddler Street, Registered Plan 500, within the Town of Durham, Municipality of West Grey, County of Grey. The Site is located on the north side of Saddler Street East between Rock Street and Concession 1. A Site Location Map is included as **Figure 1**.

1.2 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

The overall property area is approximately 2.66 hectares (6.57 acres) in size. The owner is proposing to subdivide the property into 26 residential lots with a mix of semi-detached, four-plex and six-plex style units and condominium townhouse block consisting of 30 units. The subdivision will have two access points, one from Lambton Street East and one from Saddler Street East.

The development will consist of the following:

- Municipal Right-of-Way (Street A) providing access to Saddler Street East and Lambton Street East
- Lots 1 to 26 Semi-detached and Townhouse Units
- Block 28 Stormwater Management Facility
- Block 27 Condominium Townhouse Development
- Portion of land to be retained by owner to be sold to the adjacent landowner to the east due to existing encroachments

The Draft Plan showing the proposed development configuration has been included in **Appendix A** as Drawing 01855-DP1.

The Site is located on the southeast side of Durham and is currently zoned R1B and Future Development within the Municipality of West Grey's zoning. The property is within the primary settlement area in the current Grey County Official Plan.

1.3 SCOPE OF WORK

The stormwater management report addresses the design and implementation of drainage and stormwater management facilities for the development.

The report includes:

- Details of erosion protection and sedimentation control for short-term, construction phase and the long-term;
- Rehabilitation/protection measures;
- Quantity Control;
- Quality Control;

- Established lot grading requirements for the proposed site based on existing topographic constraints, neighbouring properties, groundwater elevations and overall proposed drainage patterns;
- Provisions for the major and minor flows through the development;
- Summary of Peak Flows, Stormwater Management Facility Geometry and Performance;
- Impact of stormwater drainage on County owned ditch along the south side of Lambton Street East;
- Summary of how all County, Municipal and Watershed SWM criteria has been satisfied.

1.4 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In support of the Draft Plan Approval Application, pre-consultation with the Municipality of West Grey, County of Grey, and Saugeen Valley Conservation Authority (SVCA) has occurred. A copy of the pre-consultation meeting minutes has been included within **Appendix C** of this report.



Figure 1 - Site Location Map

2. DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 REGIONAL

The subject property is located within a large catchment area of approximately 34,640 hectares. The surface water drainage from this catchment occurs primarily overland from all directions, with the majority of the lands being upstream of the site towards the north and east flowing into the Saugeen River north and west of the property.

2.2 LOCAL

The majority of the surface runoff from the site currently flows from east to west towards the Saddler Street East Road allowance. The existing storm sewers along Saddler Street East convey the stormwater from the road allowance and residences with eventual outlet to the Saugeen River west of the site at the end of South Street West. A small portion of the north side of the site drains north into the existing roadside ditch along Lambton Street East which flows west into Durham Creek approximately 267m west of the proposed site access off Lambton Street East. Durham Creek meanders southwest towards the South Street West outlet into the Saugeen River.

There are no natural drainage courses that flow through the subject property. The topographical high point for the property is located at the upper southeast corner of the site, along the upper east boundary with an elevation of approximately 354.00 AMSL while the elevation at the southwest boundary of the site along Saddler Street East is approximately 349.50 metres AMSL. The average existing slope on the site is approximately 2.0%.

2.3 SOILS

The soil survey of Grey County (Report No. 17) identifies the native soils on the site as Sargent Loam which consists of a well sorted gravelly outwash. Sargent Loam is represented by Hydraulic Soil Group A. The surrounding properties consist of similar soil types including Donnybrook Loam which consists of sandy loam underlain by gravelly materials.

3. STORMWATER CONTROL

The design guidelines and constraints utilized in the stormwater management review for the development are as follows:

3.1 **DESIGN GUIDELINES**

The main design guideline utilized in this review is the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Park's (MECP) *"Stormwater Management Planning and Design (SWMP&D) Manual,"* dated March 2003. Grey County's Stormwater Management Development Application Guidelines were also referenced when preparing this report.

The SWMP&D Manual details the methodologies for the preparation and evaluation of urban/suburban stormwater management measures. The document provides direction on the design of drainage/ stormwater management facilities required to meet the goals and objectives of the various Municipal/ Provincial Review Agencies.

The SWMP&D Manual provides information on the long-term operation and maintenance techniques for stormwater management facilities that may be implemented in the development of the subdivision.

The storm sewer design criteria to be used are as follows:

- Runoff from the 5 year storm is to be conveyed to a sufficient outlet via a combination of storm sewers and grass swales/ditches;
- Major storm runoff (i.e. >5 years) is to be contained within specified drainage corridors and not adversely impact any of the proposed units within the development or off-site properties.

3.2 METHODOLOGY FOR COMPUTING STORMWATER RUNOFF

As noted previously, the objectives of the Stormwater Management (SWM) Plan for development are to ensure that there is an adequate outlet to convey the runoff from the minor and major storm systems.

The objectives are to be achieved by completing the following tasks:

- i. Determining the existing drainage conditions;
- ii. Determining the post-development drainage conditions;
- iii. Design stormwater management measures that meet the criteria of the Municipality of West Grey, Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), and Saugeen Valley Conservation Authority (SVCA).
- iv. Summarize the analysis by identifying conclusions and recommendations.

4. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The property is split into two main areas by the presence of the existing grassed, former rail trail that runs east to west across the top portion of the property. The north portion of the property consists of dense trees and a small portion of both maintained lawn and natural, unmaintained grassed areas. The south portion is a mixture of natural, unmaintained lawns and an area of maintained lawns along with a combination of trees and low-lying shrubs. The existing roadside ditch that is present along the north property boundary, (Lambton Street East), conveys the runoff from the north portion of the site. The south portion of the site is generally conveyed to the existing road allowance of Saddler Street East.

The pre-development catchment area boundaries are delineated on Drawing 01855-SWM1 attached in **Appendix A**.

Summarized below is a description of each catchment area.

4.1 PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS

CATCHMENT AREA 101

- Includes all lands north of the former rail trail within the site boundary;
- Drainage is typically overland, sheet flow from the south to north towards the existing roadside ditch along the south side of Lambton Street East;
- Catchment Area 101 is considered to discharge to Discharge Point #1 for the purposes of this report;
- Approximately 1.10 ha in area.

CATCHMENT AREA 102

- Includes all lands south of the former rail trail within the site boundary as well as a portion of lands to the east of the site boundary;
- Lands to the east of the site boundary include rear lots of existing residential dwellings on Saddler Street East;
- Drainage is typically overland, sheet flow from east to west towards the southwest corner of the site boundary towards the Saddler Street East Road allowance;
- Catchment Area 102 is considered to discharge to Discharge Point #2 for the purposes of this report;
- Approximately 2.78 ha in area.

5. PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed post development catchment area boundaries are delineated on Drawing 01855-SWM2 attached in **Appendix A**.

Summarized below is a description of each catchment area.

5.1 POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS

CATCHMENT AREA 201

- This catchment area includes a portion of the proposed Street 'A' road allowance, a small portion of the rooftops for Unit 1 and 13, and the rear yards of proposed dwellings on the north side in Block 27;
- Minor flows from the Street 'A' road allowance will be captured by the storm sewer which will discharge into the proposed stormwater management facility;
- Major flows will flow overland, discharging into the existing roadside ditch along the south side of Lambton Street East;
- Catchment Area 201 is considered to discharge to Discharge Point #1 for the purposes of this report;
- Approximately 0.47 ha in area.

CATCHMENT AREA 202

- This catchment area includes all the lands within Catchment 102 and the majority of the land from Catchment 101;
- Minor flows will be captured by the storm sewer and major flows will flow overland, both discharging into the proposed stormwater management facility;
- Catchment Area 202 is considered to discharge to Discharge Point #2 for the purposes of this report;
- Approximately 3.41 ha in area.

6. QUANTITY CONTROL

The hydrologic modelling software PCSWMM Version 5.6.1803 Professional 2D was used to determine the pre and post-development peak flows for the 2 yr., 5 yr., 25 yr., 50 yr., and 100 yr. storm events. The most current Environment Canada Data has been used for the modelling as it reflects more up to date data (2022 versus 2010) for the MTO parameters and provides a higher runoff rate thus providing a more conservative model. A copy of the rainfall data has been included with **Appendix B**.

The stormwater management design criteria for the subject site as recommended by the Municipality of West Grey, MECP and SVCA are to attenuate post-development peak flow rates to the pre-development levels for all storm events.

The pre-development and post development parameters and model outputs for all Catchments are contained in **Appendix B**.

6.1 **DESIGN REQUIREMENTS**

The intent of stormwater quantity control is to limit the flows under proposed conditions to existing levels or less to protect the downstream watercourses, infrastructure, and properties.

Minor flows from much of the development will be conveyed to the proposed stormwater management facility via a new storm sewer collection system that will be constructed throughout the development. This storm sewer collection system will be designed to accommodate all flows up to and including the 5 year storm event.

Major flows (>5 year), will be conveyed overland within the road allowance of the street.

Due to the increase in impervious area, stormwater quantity control will be required for the site. The design of the stormwater management facility has assumed a free outlet from the pond.

6.2 SWM FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

The stormwater management facility and outlet structure have been designed to control peak runoff rates as well as conform to MECP best practices.

In order to provide the above required volumes and discharges, the following SWM facility geometry is being proposed:

Table 7.1 – SWM Facility Geometry				
SWM FACILITY	DETAILED DESIGN			
Side Slope	3:1			
SWM Facility Bottom	346.75 m			
Top Elevation	349.50 m			
High Water Elevation	348.77 m			

The outlet configuration for the SWM Facility will be as follows:

- A 150 mm diameter orifice located at the pond bottom elevation of 346.75m.
- An emergency overflow spillway located on the south side of the pond will allow stormwater to drain from the pond during storm events larger than the 100 year storm if the primary outlet becomes blocked.

6.3 SWM FACILITY PERFORMANCE

Below is a summary of the hydraulic performance of the SWM facility during the various storm events:

		-	
RETURN PERIOD	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AK FLOW ELEVATION (I/s) (m)	
2 Year	42.4	347.52	288
5 Year	51.7	347.86	469
25 Year	63.1	348.36	805
50 Year	67.2	348.57	961
100 Year	70.9	348.77	1,127

Table 7.2 – SWM Facility Performance

6.4 MODELLING RESULTS

Based on the above outlet structure, the following summarizes the pre-development and post development peak flows to the two (2) discharge points:

	DISCHARGE	POINT #1 (I/s)	DISCHARGE	'OINT #2 (I/s)	
RETURN PERIOD	CATCHMENT 101 PRE	CATCHMENT 201 POST	CATCHMENT 102 PRE	CATCHMENT 202 POST	
2 Year	6.0	18.6	34.9	42.4	
5 Year	14.2	32.1	56.0	51.7	
25 Year	35.8	59.7	114.4	63.1	
50 Year	48.1	72.7	146.4	67.2	
100 Year	62.5	86.4	183.1	70.9	

Table 7.3 – SWM Peak Flow Summary

6.4.1 DISCHARGE POINT #1

As shown in the chart above, the pre-development flows are greater than the post development flows for Discharge Point #1. This is primarily due to the inability to grade the site in a manner that allows all the runoff to be directed towards the proposed SWM pond. Therefore, the post development flows to Lambton Street East are greater than the pre-development flows. The increase in flow is very minor and not expected to adversely impact any downstream infrastructure and properties between the site and Durham Creek.

6.4.2 DISCHARGE POINT #2

The post development flows for Discharge Point #2 are less than the pre-development flows for the majority of the storm events. The only storm event that is above the pre-development conditions is the 2-year storm event. This increase is not expected to cause any adverse impacts or issues as the storm sewers are designed to accommodate the 5-year storm event. Therefore, no additional quantity control beyond the construction of the proposed stormwater management facility is being considered.

6.4.3 MODELLING SUMMARY

Ultimately, both discharge points outlet to Durham Creek at Kincardine Street with eventual outlet to the Saugeen River. The combined post development flows are below the pre-development flows during all major storm events.

It is presumed that the downstream infrastructure from Rock Street West is adequate to service this proposed development as the current construction being completed by Grey County on Rock Street South of Saddler Street has been designed without stormwater controls. Based on the minor increases in flow as outlined above, it is not anticipated that this proposed development will negatively impact the existing downstream infrastructure.

7. QUALITY CONTROL

To meet the requirements of the Municipality of West Grey, MECP, and SVCA, stormwater quality control will be provided for the proposed development.

The MECP's SWMP&D Guidelines recommend that the required level of protection be associated with the habitat sensitivity of the receiving watercourse. The ultimate receiving watercourse for this development is the Saugeen River. For the purposes of this report, a 'Enhanced' water quality protection level will be implemented in accordance with the MECP 2003 Guidelines and SVCA requirements.

In keeping with the approach suggested in the SWMP&D manual, a 'treatment train' approach to stormwater quality management has been proposed for this development. This approach consists of three (3) levels of treatment which are described as follows:

- Lot level control measures
- Conveyance control measures
- End-of-Pipe control measures

A review of each measure and its suitability for use in the development is discussed below:

7.1 LOT LEVEL CONTROL MEASURES

The Municipality of West Grey design standards require minimum grades of 2.0% for lot grading, driveways, and drainage swales. Therefore, reduced lot grading of the front, side, and rear yards to less than 2.0% is not feasible.

The north portion of the site contains karst deposits and therefore the use of drainage pits and infiltration trenches have not been considered. Drainage pits and infiltration trenches also require additional ongoing maintenance which is borne by the homeowner and their long-term function is contingent on the action of the landowner.

It is proposed that all runoff draining from rooftops be directed overland across the grass lawns to encourage infiltration and filtering of pollutants from this runoff. The Lot Grading Plan will note the following "Roof drain troughs shall be directed to grassed areas of the property and not to driveways or private drain connections".

7.2 CONVEYANCE CONTROL MEASURES

The Municipality's standard road cross section only allows for the use of curb and gutter in new urban type subdivisions. Therefore, the use of grass swales as a conveyance control measure for runoff from the subdivision streets cannot be implemented.

Grassed drainage swales may be proposed to be constructed in the rear yards of some of the lots. These swales will provide rear yard drainage for the proposed lots. Swales will have slopes of at least 2.0% where possible. This will assist with removing pollutants and sediment from the runoff prior to draining into the municipal storm sewer system.

All catchbasins and manholes within the subdivision will be provided with minimum 600 mm and 300 mm sumps respectively which will assist in removing a portion of the sediment contained in the runoff from the street.

7.3 END-OF-PIPE CONTROL MEASURES

The proposed stormwater management pond has been designed such that it can be provide a minor level of end of pipe control prior to its discharge into the municipal storm sewer. The proposed pond can provide adequate storage while allowing the stored water to be released back into the storm sewer system at a reduced rate which will prevent downstream flooding.

The use of an Oil Grit Separator (OGS) is a viable option for this development to provide "Enhanced" treatment for the stormwater. The OGS will be installed at the downstream end of the new storm sewer

Page 13 of 16

system prior to discharging into the stormwater management pond. Runoff from the impervious road surface will be collected by the storm sewers and directed through the OGS which will remove pollutants and sediment prior to discharging into the drainage ditch. Design details for the OGS are attached in **Appendix D**.

8. EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROL

8.1 CONSTRUCTION STAGE

The following are details regarding the erosion and sediment control measures to be implemented during construction:

- Placement of siltation fences in all areas where surface drainage flows over disturbed areas. Siltation fence shall remain erect until construction is completed and the upstream area is fully revegetated.
- The stormwater management pond should be constructed first to act as a sedimentation pond during construction;
- Placement of temporary rock check dams within swales and any other locations where a concentrated flow of runoff may occur. All proposed drainage swales are to be seeded during construction;
- Installation of filter cloth under all new and existing catchbasin grates until paving of the subdivision streets is completed;
- Mud mats will be placed at construction accesses to keep public roadways free from debris during the construction period.

Once the ground surface of the site has been stabilized, the rock check dams and siltation fences can then be removed.

During the construction phase, it is important to ensure that erosion/sediment control is in place to ensure against transport of sediment into the existing downstream drainage ditches.

8.2 LOT DEVELOPMENT

During individual construction of homes within the subdivision, siltation barriers are to be constructed, as appropriate, to prevent the erosion of materials into the proposed roadside ditches and storm sewer system. The siltation barriers can be the form of siltation fences or shallow excavated sediment traps in the direction of flow from the construction site to the proposed drainage system.

The proposed grading will not alter the existing grading along the perimeter of the property. Individual lot grading will be determined based on the development of each lot; therefore, the sediment control of each individual lot is up to the landowner constructing the dwelling.

8.3 RESTORATION AND PROTECTION MEASURES

Every effort will be made to restore all disturbed areas as soon as possible after the completion of construction and lot development. A synergistic approach during construction, lot development and post-construction will be used to ensure adequate restoration to the disturbed areas has been completed to provide appropriate protection measures. This will be achieved by allowing disturbed areas to revegetate before removing sediment and erosion control measures as to avoid their migration from the subject property to any to adjacent properties, infrastructure and/or the municipal right-of-way. When and where beneficial, interim and rough grading of the property shall be completed such that the drainage and runoff is contained on site or controlled to a positive outlet. Special care shall be taken to ensure that silt and sediment laden surface water does not enter any watercourses or environmentally sensitive areas, either overland or through the storm drainage system.

9. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The above report presents the Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan in support of Draft Plan Approval Application for a residential subdivision development located in Durham. Based on the findings of this report, the following conclusions are made:

- 1. Stormwater quantity control will be provided by a dry pond SWM Facility with an outlet configuration as described previously.
- 2. Stormwater quantity control for the development will maintain or lower pre-development flows during storm events for the flows captured in Catchment 202 (Discharge Point #2).
- 3. Stormwater quality control for the development will be higher than pre-development flows during storm events for the flows captured in Catchment 201 (Discharge Point #1) with proposed discharge to Lambton Street East. This increase however, is not expected to cause downstream concerns;
- 4. Stormwater quality will be provided by a treatment train approach which will include lot level control, conveyance control and 'end-of-pipe' control measures.

Lot level control will be provided by directing most impervious areas not directly connected to the municipal storm sewer system, over vegetated areas and directing all rear yard drainage to grass swales prior to discharging into the proposed storm sewer system.

Conveyance control will be provided by and providing a minimum 600 mm sumps in all catchbasins and a minimum 300 mm sumps in all catchbasin manholes.

End-of-pipe control will be provided by the proposed stormwater management pond (SWM facility).

All three levels of the treatment train approach will be used for the development to provide an "Enhanced" Level of protection for the development.

Based on the above conclusions of this report, it is recommended that the above Stormwater Management Report for the proposed subdivision be submitted to the SVCA, Municipality of West Grey and Grey County as part of the Draft Plan Approval Application.

Sincerely,

COBIDE Engineering Inc.

Amy R.M. Hoffarth, E.I.T.

m houl

Travis Burnside, P.Eng. Director



H:\Tremble\01855 Tremble Sadler St Townhouses\Reports\SWM\2023-12-15 Saddler SWM Report 01855.docx

Appendix A

DRAWINGS 01855 – DP1 – DRAFT PLAN OF SUBDVISION 01855 – SWM1 – PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS 01855 – SWM2 – POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT AREAS



RESIDE	RESIDENTIAL 'R3 ZONE PROVISIONS					
	STREET TOWNHOUSE	CLUSTER TOWNHOUSE				
_OT AREA (MIN.)	275 sq.m.	280 sq.m.	(SEE NOTE 2 BELOW)			
_OT FRONTAGE (MIN.)	9.0m	6.5m	20.1m			
FRONT YARD (MIN.)	7.6m	7.5m	7.6m			
REAR YARD (MIN.)	7.6m	7.5m	7.6m			
EXT. SIDE YARD (MIN.)	7.6m	7.5m	7.6m			
NT. SIDE YARD (MIN.)	1.2m & 1.8m & 3.7m (SEE NOTE 1 BELOW)	3.0m	6.0m			
MAX. LOT COVERAGE	-	-				

NOTE: 1) INTERIOR SIDE YARD REQUIREMENTS FOR A ONE STOREY UNIT IS 1.2m. MORE THAN ONE STORY IS 1.8m. NO ATTACHED GARAGE IS 3.7m. EXCEPTING HOWEVER THAT THE SIDE YARD ALONG THE COMMON WALL DIVIDING THE ATTACHED UNITS SHALL BE 0m.

2) LOT AREA MINIMUM:

a) 1393.5 sq. m FOR THE FIRST FOUR UNITS. b) 264.8 sq. m FOR EACH ADDITIONAL UNIT THEREAFTER

SOURCE: MUNICIPALITY OF WEST GREY, ZONING BYLAW No. 37-2006 (INCLUDES AMENDMENTS IN FORCE AND EFFECT AS OF APRIL 1, 2017)

Lot Information					
	Frontage (m)				
Lot Number	(AS DEFINED IN	Area (sq.m)	Lot Coverage		
	ZONING BY-LAW)				
1	17.8	659.1	24.2%		
2	12.7	414.4	38.6%		
3	12.7	387.4	41.2%		
4	9.1	277	49.3%		
5	9.1	277	49.3%		
6	12.7	384	41.6%		
7	12.7	384	41.6%		
8	9.1	277	49.3%		
9	9.1	301.7	45.4%		
10	12.7	419.2	38.1%		
11	19.8	656.3	38.4%		
12	19.8	656.9	38.3%		
13	18.5	570.9	28.0%		
14	16.7	470.6	34.0%		
15	16.7	512.2	31.2%		
16	9.1	280.5	48.8%		
17	9.1	280.2	48.8%		
18	12.7	388	41.2%		
19	12.7	387.5	41.2%		
20	9.1	279.2	48.8%		
21	9.1	278.9	48.8%		
22	12.7	386.2	41.2%		
23	12.7	385.7	41.2%		
24	9.1	277.9	48.8%		
25	9.1	277.7	48.8%		
26	18.4	569.1	28.1%		
BLOCK 27	-	11163.3			
BLOCK 28	-	1233.6			







Appendix B

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MODELLING SWM MODELLING – PRE AND POST DEVELOPMENT

Saddler Street Development - Model Schematic



SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT – SWM MODELLING – MODEL DETAILS

[TITLE]

;;Project Title/Notes

[OPTIONS]

;;Option	Value
FLOW UNITS	LPS
INFILTRATION	CURVE NUMBER
FLOW ROUTING	DYNWAVE
LINK OFFSETS	ELEVATION
MIN SLOPE	0
ALLOW PONDING	NO
SKIP_STEADY_STATE	NO
START_DATE	12/2/2023
START_TIME	00:00:00
REPORT_START_DATE	12/2/2023
REPORT_START_TIME	00:00:00
END_DATE	12/3/2023
END_TIME	00:00:00
SWEEP_START	1/1
SWEEP END	12/31
DRY DAYS	0
REPORT STEP	00:01:00
WET STEP	00:05:00
DRY STEP	00:05:00
ROUTING STEP	5
RULE_STEP	00:00:00
INERTIAL_DAMPING	PARTIAL
NORMAL_FLOW_LIMITED	BOTH
FORCE_MAIN_EQUATION	H-W
VARIABLE_STEP	0.75
LENGTHENING_STEP	0
MIN_SURFAREA	0
MAX_TRIALS	8
HEAD_TOLERANCE	0
SYS_FLOW_TOL	5
LAT_FLOW_TOL	5
MINIMUM_STEP	0.5
THREADS	8

[EVAPORATION]

;;Data Source	Parameters
;;	
CONSTANT	0.0
DRY_ONLY	NO

[RAINGAGES]

;;Name	Format Interval SCF	Sour	ce
;;			
SCS Type	II 39.8mm 2yr INTENSITY 0:06	1.0	TIMESERIES SCS Type II 39.8mm 2yr
SCS Type	II 55.1mm 5yr INTENSITY 0:06	1.0	TIMESERIES SCS Type II 55.1mm 5yr
SCS Type	II 78.1mm 25yr INTENSITY 0:06	1.0	TIMESERIES SCS Type II 78.1mm 25yr
SCS Type	II 87.6mm 50yr INTENSITY 0:06	1.0	TIMESERIES SCS Type II 87.6mm 50yr
SCS_Type_	II_97.1mm_100yr INTENSITY 0:06	1.0	TIMESERIES SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100yr

[SUBCATCHMENTS]

;;Name	Rain Gage	Outlet	Area	%Imperv	Width	%Slope	CurbLen	SnowPack
;;								
-								
101	SCS Type II 97.1	mm 100yr Pre CtyR	d 1.1	0.8	212	1.7	0	
102	SCS Type II 97.1	mm 100yr Pre Sadd	ler 2.78	4.9	330	1.6	0	
201	SCS Type II 97.1	mm 100yr PostCtyR	d 0.47	12.5	212	2.8	0	
202	SCS_Type_II_97.1	mm_100yr SU1	3.41	32.7	1100	2.5	0	

[SUBAREAS] ;;Subcatchment	N-Imperv	N-Perv	S-Imperv	S-Perv	PctZero	RouteTo	PctRouted
;;							
101	0.01	0.37	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	
102	0.01	0.31	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	
201	0.01	0.25	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	
202	0.01	0.25	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	

[INFILTRATION]

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT – SWM MODELLING – MODEL DETAILS

;;Subcatchment	Paraml	Param2	Param3	Param	l Pai	am5				
101	52 1	12 7	7	0	 					
102	55 /	12.7	7	0	0					
201	60	12.7	7	0	0					
201	60	12.7	7	0	0					
202	00	12.1	/	0	0					
[OUTFALLS] ;;Name	Elevation	Туре	Stage Da	ata	Gated	Route To				
;; Post Saddler	0	FREE			NO					
PostCtyRd	0	FREE			NO					
Pre_CtyRd	0	FREE			NO					
Pre_Saddler	0	FREE			NO					
[STORAGE]										
;;Name	Elev. N	MaxDepth	n InitDepth	Shape	Curv	re Name/Par	ams	SurDe	epth Fevap	Psi
Ksat IMD										
;;										
SU1	346.75	2.75	0	TABULAR	Ponc	1		0	0	
[ORIFICES]										
;;Name ;;	From Node		To Node	Туре		Offset	Qcoeff	Gated	CloseTime	
OR1	SU1		Post_Saddler	SIDE		346.75	0.65	NO	0	
[XSECTIONS]										
;;Link	Shape	Geon	11	Geom2	Geom3	Geom4	Barre	ls Cul	lvert	
;;										
OR1	CIRCULAR	0.15)	0	0	0				
[CIIDVES]										
::Name	Type	X-Valu	e Y-Value							
;;										
Pond Pond	Storage	0 2.75	260 1075							
[TIMESERIES] ;;Name	Date	Time	Value							
;;;SCS_Type_II_39.	8mm design	storm,	total rainfa	ll = 39.8	mm, rair	n interval	= 6 minutes	, rain ur	nits = mm/hr.	
SCS_Type_II_39.8	mm_2yr									
;SCS_Type_II_55.1 SCS_Type_II_55.1	1mm design mm_5yr	storm,	total rainfa	11 = 55.1	mm, rair	interval	= 6 minutes	, rain ur	nits = mm/hr.	
;SCS_Type_II_78.1 SCS_Type_II_78.1	1mm design mm_25yr	storm,	total rainfa	11 = 78.1	mm, rair	interval	= 6 minutes	, rain ur	nits = mm/hr.	
;SCS_Type_II_87. SCS_Type_II_87.6	6mm design mm_50yr	storm,	total rainfa	11 = 87.6	mm, rair	interval	= 6 minutes	, rain ur	nits = mm/hr.	
;SCS_Type_II_97.1 SCS_Type_II_97.1	1mm design mm 100vr	storm,	total rainfa	11 = 97.1	mm, rair	interval	= 6 minutes	, rain ur	nits = mm/hr.	
[REPORT] ;;Reporting Option INPUT YES CONTROLS NO SUBCATCHMENTS ALL NODES ALL LINKS ALL										
[TAGS]										
[MAP]										
DIMENSIONS UNITS	515119.609 Meters	99	4891364.6717	51575	54.9501	489162	4.1243			

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 2 YEAR DESIGN STORM

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.2 (Build 5.2.4) _____

* * * * * * * * * * * * * Element Count * * * * * * * * * * * * * Number of rain gages 5 Number of subcatchments ... 4 Number of nodes 5 Number of links 1 Number of pollutants 0 Number of land uses 0 $\,$

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Raingage Summary ****

| Name | Data Source | Data R
Type I | ecording
nterval |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| SCS_Type_II_39.8mm | 2yr SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_55.1mm | 5yr SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_78.1mm | 25yr SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_25yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_87.6mm | 50yr SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_50yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_ | 100yr SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100yr | INTENSIT | Y 6 min. |

Subcatchment Summary

| * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope Rain Gage | Outlet | |
|------|------|---------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| 101 | 1.10 | 212.00 | 0.80 | 1.7000 SCS Type II 3 | 39.8mm 2yr Pre CtyRd | |
| 102 | 2.78 | 330.00 | 4.90 | 1.6000 SCS Type II 3 | 39.8mm 2yr Pre Saddler | |
| 201 | 0.47 | 212.00 | 12.50 | 2.8000 SCS_Type_II_3 | 39.8mm_2yr PostCtyRd | |
| 202 | 3.41 | 1100.00 | 32.70 | 2.5000 SCS_Type_II_3 | 39.8mm_2yr SU1 | |

* * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Summary

* * * * * * * * * * *

| Name | Туре | Invert
Elev. | Max.
Depth | Ponded
Area | External
Inflow |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 346.75 | 2.75 | 0.0 | |

* * * * * * * * * * * *

Link Summary

| * * * * * * * * * * * * | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------|-------|------|--------|--------------|---|
| Name | From Node | To Node | Тур | pe | Leng | th %Sl | ope Roughnes | s |
| OR1 | SU1 | Post_Saddle: | C OR | IFICE | | | | - |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * * * | | | | | | | |
| Cross Section | n Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | Full | Full | Hyd. | Max. | No. of | Full | |

| |
 | DCPCII | | | | | |
|---------|-------|--------|------|-----|-------|---------|------|
| Conduit | Shape | Denth | Aroa | Pad | Width | Barrole | Flow |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Analysis Options **** Flow Units LPS

Process Models:

| Water Quality NO
Infiltration Method CURVE_NUMBER
Flow Routing Method DYNWAVE | |
|--|---|
| Surcharge Method EXTRAN
Starting Date 12/02/2023 00:00:00 | 0 |
| Ending Date 12/03/2023 00:00:00 Antecedent Dry Days 0.0 Report Time Step 00:01:00 Wet Time Step 00:05:00 Dry Time Step 00:05:00 Routing Time Step 5.00 sec Variable Time Step YES Maximum Trials 8 Number of Threads 1 Head Tolerance 0.001524 m | 0 |

| Volume | Depth |
|-----------|--|
| hectare-m | mm |
| | |
| 0.309 | 39.800 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.216 | 27.809 |
| 0.092 | 11.859 |
| 0.001 | 0.137 |
| -0.014 | |
| | Volume
hectare-m
0.309
0.000
0.216
0.092
0.001
-0.014 |

| * | Volume | Volume |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| * | | |
| Dry Weather Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Wet Weather Inflow | 0.092 | 0.921 |
| Groundwater Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Outflow | 0.092 | 0.920 |
| Flooding Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Exfiltration Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Initial Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Final Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Continuity Error (%) | 0.000 | |

| Routing Time S | Step Summary | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------|-----|
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * * * * * * | | | |
| Minimum Time S | Step | : | 4.50 | sec |
| Average Time S | Step | : | 5.00 | sec |

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT – SWM MODELLING – 2 YEAR DESIGN STORM

| Maximum Time Step | : | 5.00 | sec |
|-----------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| % of Time in Steady State | : | 0.00 | |
| Average Iterations per Step | : | 2.00 | |
| % of Steps Not Converging | : | 0.00 | |
| Time Step Frequencies | : | | |
| 5.000 - 3.155 sec | : | 100.00 | 90 |
| 3.155 - 1.991 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 1.991 - 1.256 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 1.256 - 0.792 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 0.792 - 0.500 sec | : | 0.00 | 8 |

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

| Peak Bunoff | Total | Total | Total | Total | Imperv | Perv | Total | Total |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| ICax Ranoll | Precip | Runon | Evap | Infil | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff |
| Runoff Coeff
Subcatchment | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | 10^6 ltr |
| LPS | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | 39.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34.37 | 0.32 | 4.93 | 5.25 | 0.06 |
| 102 | 39.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.66 | 1.95 | 4.96 | 6.91 | 0.19 |
| 34.92 0.174 | 20 00 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 20 20 | 1 0.0 | 6 57 | 11 55 | 0.05 |
| 18.59 0.290 | 59.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.20 | 4.90 | 0.57 | 11.55 | 0.05 |
| 202
269.58 0.454 | 39.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.69 | 13.02 | 5.05 | 18.07 | 0.62 |
| | | | | | | | | |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Depth Summary

| * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * |
|-----------------------|---------------|
|-----------------------|---------------|

| Node | Туре | Average
Depth
Meters | Maximum
Depth
Meters | Maximum
HGL
Meters | Time
Occu
days | of Max
rrence
hr:min | Reported
Max Depth
Meters |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Post_Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre_CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre_Saddler
SU1 | OUTFALL
STORAGE | 0.00
0.11 | 0.00
0.77 | 0.00
347.52 | 0
0 | 00:00
03:31 | 0.00
0.77 |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Inflow Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| Node | Туре | Maximum
Lateral
Inflow
LPS | Maximum
Total
Inflow
LPS | Time
Occu
days | of Max
rrence
hr:min | Lateral
Inflow
Volume
10^6 ltr | Total
Inflow
Volume
10^6 ltr | Flow
Balance
Error
Percent |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Post_Saddler
PostCtyRd
Pre_CtyRd
Pre_Saddler
SU1 | OUTFALL
OUTFALL
OUTFALL
OUTFALL
STORAGE | 0.00
18.59
6.01
34.92
269.58 | 42.39
18.59
6.01
34.92
269.58 | 0
0
0
0
0 | 03:31
03:00
03:06
03:00
03:00 | 0
0.0543
0.0578
0.192
0.617 | 0.616
0.0543
0.0578
0.192
0.617 | 0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000 |

Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 2 YEAR DESIGN STORM

No nodes were flooded.

| Storage Unit | Average | Avg | Evap | Exfil | Maximum | Max | Time of Max | Maximum |
|--------------|---------|------|------|-------|---------|------|-------------|---------|
| | Volume | Pcnt | Pcnt | Pcnt | Volume | Pcnt | Occurrence | Outflow |
| | 1000 m³ | Full | Loss | Loss | 1000 m³ | Full | days hr:min | LPS |
| SU1 | 0.038 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.288 | 15.7 | 0 03:31 | 42.39 |

| | Flow | Avg | Max | Total |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| | Freq | Flow | Flow | Volume |
| Outfall Node | Pcnt | LPS | LPS | 10^6 ltr |
| | | | | |
| Post Saddler | 93.87 | 7.59 | 42.39 | 0.616 |
| PostCtyRd | 42.68 | 1.46 | 18.59 | 0.054 |
| Pre CtyRd | 96.78 | 0.69 | 6.01 | 0.058 |
| Pre_Saddler | 99.97 | 2.23 | 34.92 | 0.192 |
| | | | | |
| System | 83.33 | 11.97 | 93.72 | 0.920 |

Link Flow Summary

| | | Maximum | Time of Max | Maximum | Max/ | Max/ |
|------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|------|-------|
| | | Flow | Occurrence | Veloc | Full | Full |
| Link | Туре | LPS | days hr:min | m/sec | Flow | Depth |
| OR1 | ORIFICE | 42.39 | 0 03:31 | | | 1.00 |

| | Adjusted | | | Fract | ion of |
Time | in Flo | w Clas | s | |
|---------|-------------------|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Conduit | /Actual
Length | Dry | Up
Dry | Down
Dry | Sub
Crit | Sup
Crit | Up
Crit | Down
Crit | Norm
Ltd | Inlet
Ctrl |

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Fri Dec 8 16:42:24 2023 Analysis ended on: Fri Dec 8 16:42:24 2023 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 5 YEAR DESIGN STORM

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.2 (Build 5.2.4) _____

* * * * * * * * * * * * * Element Count * * * * * * * * * * * * Number of rain gages 5 Number of subcatchments ... 4 Number of nodes 5 Number of links 1 Number of pollutants 0 Number of land uses 0 $\,$

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Raingage Summary ****

| Name | Data
Type | Recording
Interval | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2 | yr SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5 | yr SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_2 | 5yr SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_25yr | INTENSIT | Y 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_5 | 0yr SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_50yr | INTENSIT | Y 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_1 | 00yr SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100yr | INTENSI | TY 6 min. |

Subcatchment Summary

| * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope Rain Gage | Outlet | |
|------|------|---------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| 101 | 1.10 | 212.00 | 0.80 | 1.7000 SCS Type II 5 | 55.1mm 5yr Pre CtyRd | |
| 102 | 2.78 | 330.00 | 4.90 | 1.6000 SCS Type II 5 | 55.1mm 5yr Pre Saddler | |
| 201 | 0.47 | 212.00 | 12.50 | 2.8000 SCS_Type_II_5 | 55.1mm_5yr PostCtyRd | |
| 202 | 3.41 | 1100.00 | 32.70 | 2.5000 SCS_Type_II_5 | 55.1mm_5yr SU1 | |

* * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Summary

* * * * * * * * * * *

| Name | Туре | Invert
Elev. | Max.
Depth | Ponded
Area | External
Inflow |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 346.75 | 2.75 | 0.0 | |

Link Summary

| ****** | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------|--------|------|-----|--------|-----------|
| Name | From Node | To Node | Тγ | уре | Leng | th | %Slope | Roughness |
| OR1 | SU1 | Post_Saddler | OF | RIFICE | | | | |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * | | | | | | | |
| Cross Section Su | mmary
**** | | | | | | | |
| | | Full | Full | Hyd. | Max. | No. | of 1 | Full |

Depth Area Rad. Width Barrels Flow Conduit Shape _____ ------

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Analysis Options **** Flow Units LPS Process Models:

| Rainfall/Runoff | YES |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| RDII | NO |
| Snowmelt | NO |
| Groundwater | NO |
| Flow Routing | YES |
| Ponding Allowed | NO |
| Water Quality | CURVE_NUMBER |
| Infiltration Method | DYNWAVE |
| Flow Routing Method | EXTRAN |
| Surcharge Method | 12/02/2023 00:00:00 |
| Starting Date | 12/03/2023 00:00:00 |
| Antecedent Dry Days | 0.0 |
| Report Time Step | 00:01:00 |
| Dry Time Step | 00:05:00 |
| Routing Time Step | 5.00 sec |
| Variable Time Step | YES |
| Maximum Trials | 8 |
| Maximum Trials | 8 |
| Number of Threads | L |
| Head Tolerance | 0.001524 m |

| * | Volume | Depth |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mm |
| * | | |
| Total Precipitation | 0.428 | 55.100 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Infiltration Loss | 0.281 | 36.259 |
| Surface Runoff | 0.145 | 18.709 |
| Final Storage | 0.001 | 0.139 |
| Continuity Error (%) | -0.012 | |
| | | |

| * | Volume | Volume |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| * | | |
| Dry Weather Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Wet Weather Inflow | 0.145 | 1.453 |
| Groundwater Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Outflow | 0.145 | 1.453 |
| Flooding Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Exfiltration Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Initial Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Final Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Continuity Error (%) | 0.000 | |

| Routing | Time | Step | Summary | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|------|-----|
| * * * * * * * * | * * * * * | * * * * * | ****** | | | |
| Minimum | Time | Step | | : | 4.50 | sec |
| Average | Time | Step | | : | 5.00 | sec |

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT – SWM MODELLING – 5 YEAR DESIGN STORM

| Maximum Time Step | : | 5.00 | sec |
|-----------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| % of Time in Steady State | : | 0.00 | |
| Average Iterations per Step | : | 2.00 | |
| % of Steps Not Converging | : | 0.00 | |
| Time Step Frequencies | : | | |
| 5.000 - 3.155 sec | : | 100.00 | 00 |
| 3.155 - 1.991 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 1.991 - 1.256 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 1.256 - 0.792 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 0.792 - 0.500 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| | | | |

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

| Dook Pupoff | Total | Total | Total | Total | Imperv | Perv | Total | Total |
|--------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| reak Kunorr | Precip | Runon | Evap | Infil | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff |
| Runoff Coeff | | | | | | | | |
| Subcatchment | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | 10^6 ltr |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | 55.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 45.27 | 0.44 | 9.19 | 9.63 | 0.11 |
| 14.21 0.175 | 55 10 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 42 83 | 2 70 | 934 | 12 04 | 0 33 |
| 56.04 0.218 | 00.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 | 2.70 | J.J. | 12.01 | 0.00 |
| 201 | 55.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.38 | 6.89 | 11.78 | 18.67 | 0.09 |
| 32.14 0.339 | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | 55.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.98 | 18.02 | 9.06 | 27.08 | 0.92 |
| 404.JJ 0.491 | | | | | | | | |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Depth Summary

| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
|-------------------------------------|--|

| Node | Туре | Average
Depth
Meters | Maximum
Depth
Meters | Maximum
HGL
Meters | Time
Occu
days | of Max
rrence
hr:min | Reported
Max Depth
Meters |
|--------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Post_Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre_CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 0.19 | 1.11 | 347.86 | 0 | 03:40 | 1.11 |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Inflow Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| Node | Туре | Maximum
Lateral
Inflow
LPS | Maximum
Total
Inflow
LPS | Time
Occu
days | of Max
rrence
hr:min | Lateral
Inflow
Volume
10^6 ltr | Total
Inflow
Volume
10^6 ltr | Flow
Balance
Error
Percent |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Post_Saddler
PostCtyRd
Pre_CtyRd
Pre_Saddler
SU1 | OUTFALL
OUTFALL
OUTFALL
OUTFALL
STORAGE | 0.00
32.14
14.21
56.04
404.55 | 51.70
32.14
14.21
56.04
404.55 | 0
0
0
0
0 | 03:40
03:06
03:06
03:00
03:00 | 0
0.0879
0.106
0.335
0.924 | 0.924
0.0879
0.106
0.335
0.924 | 0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000 |

Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 5 YEAR DESIGN STORM

No nodes were flooded.

| Storage Unit | Average | Avg | Evap | Exfil | Maximum | Max | Time of Max | Maximum |
|--------------|---------|------|------|-------|---------|------|-------------|---------|
| | Volume | Pcnt | Pcnt | Pcnt | Volume | Pcnt | Occurrence | Outflow |
| | 1000 m³ | Full | Loss | Loss | 1000 m³ | Full | days hr:min | LPS |
| SU1 | 0.071 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.469 | 25.6 | 0 03:40 | 51.70 |

| | Flow | Avg | Max | Total |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Freq | Flow | Flow | Volume |
| Outfall Node | Pcnt | LPS | LPS | 10^6 ltr |
| | | | | |
| Post Saddler | 96.23 | 11.11 | 51.70 | 0.924 |
| PostCtyRd | 43.85 | 2.31 | 32.14 | 0.088 |
| Pre CtyRd | 98.56 | 1.25 | 14.21 | 0.106 |
| Pre_Saddler | 99.98 | 3.88 | 56.04 | 0.335 |
| | | | | |
| System | 84.66 | 18.54 | 148.64 | 1.453 |

Link Flow Summary

| | | Maximum | Time of Max | Maximum | Max/ | Max/ |
|------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|------|-------|
| | | Flow | Occurrence | Veloc | Full | Full |
| Link | Туре | LPS | days hr:min | m/sec | Flow | Depth |
| OR1 | ORIFICE | 51.70 | 0 03:40 | | | 1.00 |

| | Adjusted | | | Fract: | ion of | Time | in Flov | v Clas: | s | |
|---------|----------|-----|-----|--------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| | /Actual | | Up | Down | Sub | Sup | Up | Down | Norm | Inlet |
| Conduit | Length | Dry | Dry | Dry | Crit | Crit | Crit | Crit | Ltd | Ctrl |

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Wed Jan 17 20:46:34 2024 Analysis ended on: Wed Jan 17 20:46:34 2024 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 25 YEAR DESIGN STORM

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.2 (Build 5.2.4)

| * * * * * * * | *** | * * * * | |
|---------------|-------|---------------|---|
| Element | C C C | ount | |
| * * * * * * * | *** | * * * * | |
| Number | of | rain gages | 5 |
| Number | of | subcatchments | 4 |
| Number | of | nodes | 5 |
| Number | of | links | 1 |
| Number | of | pollutants | 0 |
| Number | of | land uses | 0 |
| | | | |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Raingage Summary

| Name | Data Source | Data R
Type I | ecording
nterval
 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2 | yr SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5 | yr SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_2 | 5yr SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_25yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_5 | 0yr SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_50yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_1 | 00yr SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100yr | INTENSIT | Y 6 min. |

Subcatchment Summary

| * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope Rain Gage | Outlet | |
|------|------|---------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 101 | 1.10 | 212.00 | 0.80 | 1.7000 SCS_Type_II_ | 78.1mm_25yr Pre_CtyRd | |
| 102 | 2.78 | 330.00 | 4.90 | 1.6000 SCS Type II | 78.1mm 25yr Pre Saddler | |
| 201 | 0.47 | 212.00 | 12.50 | 2.8000 SCS_Type_II_ | 78.1mm_25yr PostCtyRd | |
| 202 | 3.41 | 1100.00 | 32.70 | 2.5000 SCS_Type_II_ | 78.1mm_25yr SU1 | |

* * * * * * * * * * *

Node Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * *

| Name | Туре | Invert
Elev. | Max.
Depth | Ponded
Area | External
Inflow |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 346.75 | 2.75 | 0.0 | |

* * * * * * * * * * *

Link Summary

| **** | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------|------|------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Name | From Node | To Node | Туре | | Leng | th | %Slope | Roughness |
| OR1 | SU1 | Post_Saddler | ORIF | ICE | | | | |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * | | | | | | | |
| Cross Section Sur | nmary
**** | | | | | | | |
| | | Full | Full | Hyd. | Max. | No. d | of | Full |

Conduit Shape Depth Area Rad. Width Barrels Flow

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| Rainfall/Runoff
RDII
Snowmelt
Groundwater
Flow Routing
Ponding Allowed
Water Quality
Infiltration Method
Flow Routing Method | YES
NO
NO
YES
NO
CURVE_NUMBER
DYNWAVE |
|--|---|
| Surcharge Method | EXTRAN |
| Starting Date
Ending Date
Antecedent Dry Days
Report Time Step
Wet Time Step
Dry Time Step
Routing Time Step
Variable Time Step
Maximum Trials | EAIRAN
12/02/2023 00:00:00
12/03/2023 00:00:00
0.0
00:01:00
00:05:00
00:05:00
5.00 sec
YES
8 |
| Head Tolerance | 0.001524 m |

| * | Volume | Depth |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mm |
| * | | |
| Total Precipitation | 0.606 | 78.100 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Infiltration Loss | 0.366 | 47.163 |
| Surface Runoff | 0.239 | 30.807 |
| Final Storage | 0.001 | 0.142 |
| Continuity Error (%) | -0.014 | |
| | | |

| * | Volume | Volume |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| * | | |
| Dry Weather Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Wet Weather Inflow | 0.239 | 2.394 |
| Groundwater Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Outflow | 0.239 | 2.393 |
| Flooding Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Exfiltration Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Initial Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Final Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Continuity Error (%) | 0.000 | |

| Routing | Time | Step | Summary | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|------|-----|
| * * * * * * * * | * * * * * | * * * * * | ****** | | | |
| Minimum | Time | Step | | : | 4.50 | sec |
| Average | Time | Step | | : | 5.00 | sec |

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT – SWM MODELLING – 25 YEAR DESIGN STORM

| Maximum Time Step | : | 5.00 | sec |
|-----------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| % of Time in Steady State | : | 0.00 | |
| Average Iterations per Step | : | 2.00 | |
| % of Steps Not Converging | : | 0.00 | |
| Time Step Frequencies | : | | |
| 5.000 - 3.155 sec | : | 100.00 | 00 |
| 3.155 - 1.991 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 1.991 - 1.256 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 1.256 - 0.792 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 0.792 - 0.500 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| | | | |

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

| Peak Bunoff | Total | Total | Total | Total | Imperv | Perv | Total | Total | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ICax Nanoli | Precip | Runon | Evap | Infil | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | | | | | | |
| Runoff Coeff
Subcatchment | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | 10^6]tr | | | | | | |
| LPS | | | | | | | | 10 0 101 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | 78.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.65 | 0.62 | 17.63 | 18.25 | 0.20 | | | | | | |
| 35.77 0.234 | 78 10 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 55 89 | 2 83 | 18 17 | 21 97 | 0 61 | | | | | | |
| 114.36 0.281 | /0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.05 | 5.05 | 10.14 | 21.97 | 0.01 | | | | | | |
| 201 | 78.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46.88 | 9.76 | 21.41 | 31.17 | 0.15 | | | | | | |
| 59.66 0.399
202 | 78.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.06 | 25.55 | 16.46 | 42.01 | 1.43 | | | | | | |
| 644.76 0.538 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Depth Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| Node | Туре | Average
Depth
Meters | Maximum
Depth
Meters | Maximum
HGL
Meters | Time
Occu
days | of Max
urrence
hr:min | Reported
Max Depth
Meters |
|--------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 0.35 | 1.61 | 348.36 | 0 | 03:51 | 1.61 |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Inflow Summary

| | | Maximum | Maximum | | | Lateral | Total | Flow |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|------|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | Lateral | Total | Time | of Max | Inflow | Inflow | Balance |
| | | Inflow | Inflow | 0ccu | rrence | Volume | Volume | Error |
| Node | Туре | LPS | LPS | days | hr:min | 10^6 ltr | 10^6 ltr | Percent |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Post_Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 63.10 | 0 | 03:51 | 0 | 1.43 | 0.000 |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 59.66 | 59.66 | 0 | 03:06 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.000 |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 35.77 | 35.77 | 0 | 03:06 | 0.201 | 0.201 | 0.000 |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 114.36 | 114.36 | 0 | 03:06 | 0.612 | 0.612 | 0.000 |
| su1 | STORAGE | 644.76 | 644.76 | 0 | 03:00 | 1.43 | 1.43 | 0.000 |

Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 25 YEAR DESIGN STORM

No nodes were flooded.

| Storage Unit | Average | Avg | Evap | Exfil | Maximum | Max | Time of Max | Maximum |
|--------------|---------|------|------|-------|---------|------|-------------|---------|
| | Volume | Pcnt | Pcnt | Pcnt | Volume | Pcnt | Occurrence | Outflow |
| | 1000 m³ | Full | Loss | Loss | 1000 m³ | Full | days hr:min | LPS |
| SU1 | 0.149 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.805 | 43.8 | 0 03:51 | 63.10 |

| Outfall Node | Flow | Avg | Max | Total |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Freq | Flow | Flow | Volume |
| | Pcnt | LPS | LPS | 10^6 ltr |
| Post_Saddler | 99.74 | 16.64 | 63.10 | 1.434 |
| PostCtyRd | 44.51 | 3.81 | 59.66 | 0.147 |
| Pre_CtyRd | 99.62 | 2.34 | 35.77 | 0.201 |
| Pre_Saddler | 99.98 | 7.08 | 114.36 | 0.612 |
| System | 85.96 | 29.86 | 265.63 | 2.393 |

Link Flow Summary

| | | Maximum | Time of Max | Maximum | Max/ | Max/ |
|------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|------|-------|
| | | Flow | Occurrence | Veloc | Full | Full |
| Link | Туре | LPS | days hr:min | m/sec | Flow | Depth |
| OR1 | ORIFICE | 63.10 | 0 03:51 | | | 1.00 |

| | Adjusted | | | Fract | ion of |
Time | in Flo | w Clas | | |
|---------|-------------------|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Conduit | /Actual
Length | Dry | Up
Dry | Down
Dry | Sub
Crit | Sup
Crit | Up
Crit | Down
Crit | Norm
Ltd | Inlet
Ctrl |

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Wed Jan 17 20:47:25 2024 Analysis ended on: Wed Jan 17 20:47:25 2024 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 50 YEAR DESIGN STORM

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.2 (Build 5.2.4)

| * * * * * * * | *** | * * * * | |
|---------------|-------|---------------|---|
| Element | C C C | ount | |
| * * * * * * * | **** | * * * * | |
| Number | of | rain gages | 5 |
| Number | of | subcatchments | 4 |
| Number | of | nodes | 5 |
| Number | of | links | 1 |
| Number | of | pollutants | 0 |
| Number | of | land uses | 0 |
| | | | |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Raingage Summary ********

| Name D | ata Source | Data F
Type J | Recording
Interval |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2yr | SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5yr | SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_25y | r SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_25yr | INTENSITY | 7 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_50y | r SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_50yr | INTENSITY | 7 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100 | yr SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100yr | INTENSIT | 74 6 min. |

Subcatchment Summary

| * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope Rain Gage | Outlet | _ |
|------|------|---------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 101 | 1.10 | 212.00 | 0.80 | 1.7000 SCS_Type_II_ | 87.6mm_50yr Pre_CtyRd | _ |
| 102 | 2.78 | 330.00 | 4.90 | 1.6000 SCS Type II | 87.6mm 50yr Pre Saddler | |
| 201 | 0.47 | 212.00 | 12.50 | 2.8000 SCS_Type_II_ | 87.6mm_50yr PostCtyRd | |
| 202 | 3.41 | 1100.00 | 32.70 | 2.5000 SCS_Type_II_ | 87.6mm_50yr SU1 | |

* * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * *

| Name | Туре | Invert
Elev. | Max.
Depth | Ponded
Area | External
Inflow |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 346.75 | 2.75 | 0.0 | |

* * * * * * * * * * * *

Link Summary

| ********** | * | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------|-------|--------|-----------------|------|--|
| Name | From Node | From Node To Node Type | | Lengt | th %Sl | %Slope Roughnes | | |
| OR1 | SU1 | Post_Saddler | ORI | FICE | | | | |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * * * | | | | | | | |
| Cross Sectio | on Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | Full | Full | Hvd. | Max. | No. of | Full | |

| Conduit | Shape | Denth | Area | Rad | Width | Barrels | Flow |
|---------|-------|-------|------|-----|-------|---------|------|

| Rainfall/Runoff
RDII
Snowmelt
Groundwater
Flow Routing
Ponding Allowed
Water Quality
Infiltration Method
Flow Routing Method | YES
NO
NO
YES
NO
CURVE_NUMBER
DYNWAVE |
|--|---|
| Surcharge Method | EXTRAN |
| Starting Date
Ending Date
Antecedent Dry Days
Report Time Step
Wet Time Step
Dry Time Step
Routing Time Step
Variable Time Step
Maximum Trials | EAIRAN
12/02/2023 00:00:00
12/03/2023 00:00:00
0.0
00:01:00
00:05:00
00:05:00
5.00 sec
YES
8 |
| Head Tolerance | 0.001524 m |

| * | Volume | Depth |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mm |
| * | | |
| Total Precipitation | 0.680 | 87.600 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Infiltration Loss | 0.397 | 51.197 |
| Surface Runoff | 0.282 | 36.277 |
| Final Storage | 0.001 | 0.141 |
| Continuity Error (%) | -0.017 | |
| | | |

| * | Volume | Volume |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| * | | |
| Dry Weather Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Wet Weather Inflow | 0.282 | 2.819 |
| Groundwater Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Outflow | 0.282 | 2.818 |
| Flooding Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Exfiltration Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Initial Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Final Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Continuity Error (%) | 0.000 | |

| Routing | Time | Step | Summary | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|------|-----|
| * * * * * * * * | * * * * * | * * * * * | ****** | | | |
| Minimum | Time | Step | | : | 4.50 | sec |
| Average | Time | Step | | : | 5.00 | sec |

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 50 YEAR DESIGN STORM

| Maximum Time Step | : | 5.00 | sec |
|-----------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| % of Time in Steady State | : | 0.00 | |
| Average Iterations per Step | : | 2.00 | |
| % of Steps Not Converging | : | 0.00 | |
| Time Step Frequencies | : | | |
| 5.000 - 3.155 sec | : | 100.00 | 00 |
| 3.155 - 1.991 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 1.991 - 1.256 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 1.256 - 0.792 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 0.792 - 0.500 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| | | | |

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

| Dook Pupoff | Total | Total | Total | Total | Imperv | Perv | Total | Total |
|-----------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| reak Kunorr | Precip | Runon | Evap | Infil | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff |
| Runoff Coeff | | | | | | | | |
| LPS | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | 10^6 ltr |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1.01 | 07 (0 | 0.00 | 0 00 | CE OF | 0 70 | 21 66 | 22.26 | 0.05 |
| 48.13 0.255 | 87.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 65.05 | 0.70 | 21.00 | 22.30 | 0.25 |
| 102 | 87.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.72 | 4.29 | 22.34 | 26.64 | 0.74 |
| 146.41 0.304 | 07.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50 64 | 10.05 | 05 07 | | 0 17 |
| 201 72.65 0.422 | 87.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.64 | 10.95 | 25.97 | 36.93 | 0.17 |
| 202 | 87.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 39.04 | 28.66 | 19.88 | 48.54 | 1.66 |
| 756.00 0.554 | | | | | | | | |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Depth Summary

| * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | ť |
|-------------------------------------|---|
|-------------------------------------|---|

| Node | Туре | Average
Depth
Meters | Maximum
Depth
Meters | Maximum
HGL
Meters | Time of Max
Occurrence
days hr:min | | Reported
Max Depth
Meters |
|--------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------|---------------------------------|
| Post_Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre_CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 0.42 | 1.82 | 348.57 | 0 | 03:56 | 1.82 |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Inflow Summary

| | | Maximum | Maximum | | | Lateral | Total | Flow |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|
| | | Lateral | Total | Time | of Max | Inflow | Inflow | Balance |
| AT - J - | | TULIOW | TULTOW | loccu | L'rence | 100C ltr | 100C ltru | ELIOL |
| Node | туре | LPS | LPS | days | nr:min | 10~6 ltr | 10~6 ltr | Percent |
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 67.15 | 0 | 03:56 | 0 | 1.66 | 0.000 |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 72.65 | 72.65 | 0 | 03:06 | 0.174 | 0.174 | 0.000 |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 48.13 | 48.13 | 0 | 03:06 | 0.246 | 0.246 | 0.000 |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 146.41 | 146.41 | 0 | 03:06 | 0.741 | 0.741 | 0.000 |
| su1 | STORAGE | 756.00 | 756.00 | 0 | 03:00 | 1.66 | 1.66 | 0.000 |

Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 50 YEAR DESIGN STORM

No nodes were flooded.

| Storage Unit | Average | Avg | Evap | Exfil | Maximum | Max | Time of Max | Maximum |
|--------------|---------|------|------|-------|---------|------|-------------|---------|
| | Volume | Pcnt | Pcnt | Pcnt | Volume | Pcnt | Occurrence | Outflow |
| | 1000 m³ | Full | Loss | Loss | 1000 m³ | Full | days hr:min | LPS |
| SU1 | 0.192 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.961 | 52.4 | 0 03:56 | 67.15 |

| Outfall Node | Flow | Avg | Max | Total |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Freq | Flow | Flow | Volume |
| | Pcnt | LPS | LPS | 10^6 ltr |
| Post_Saddler | 99.76 | 19.22 | 67.15 | 1.657 |
| PostCtyRd | 44.82 | 4.48 | 72.65 | 0.174 |
| Pre_CtyRd | 99.05 | 2.88 | 48.13 | 0.246 |
| Pre_Saddler | 99.98 | 8.58 | 146.41 | 0.741 |
| System | 85.90 | 35.17 | 326.44 | 2.818 |

Link Flow Summary

| | | Maximum | Time of Max | Maximum | Max/ | Max/ |
|------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|------|-------|
| | | Flow | Occurrence | Veloc | Full | Full |
| Link | Туре | LPS | days hr:min | m/sec | Flow | Depth |
| OR1 | ORIFICE | 67.15 | 0 03:56 | | | 1.00 |

| | Adjusted | | | Fract | ion of | Time | in Flo | w Clas | s | |
|---------|----------|-----|-----|-------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| | /Actual | | Up | Down | Sub | Sup | Up | Down | Norm | Inlet |
| Conduit | Length | Dry | Dry | Dry | Crit | Crit | Crit | Crit | Ltd | Ctrl |

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Wed Jan 17 20:48:08 2024 Analysis ended on: Wed Jan 17 20:48:08 2024 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 100 YEAR DESIGN STORM

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.2 (Build 5.2.4)

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Raingage Summary

| Name | Data Source | Data R
Type I | ecording
nterval |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| SCS_Type_II_39.8mm | 2yr SCS_Type_II_39.8mm_2yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_55.1mm | 5yr SCS_Type_II_55.1mm_5yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_78.1mm | 25yr SCS_Type_II_78.1mm_25yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_87.6mm | 50yr SCS_Type_II_87.6mm_50yr | INTENSITY | 6 min. |
| SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_ | 100yr SCS_Type_II_97.1mm_100yr | INTENSIT | Y 6 min. |

Subcatchment Summary

| * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope Rain Gage | Outlet | _ |
|------|------|---------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 101 | 1.10 | 212.00 | 0.80 | 1.7000 SCS_Type_II_9 | 97.1mm_100yr Pre_CtyRd | _ |
| 102 | 2.78 | 330.00 | 4.90 | 1.6000 SCS Type II | 97.1mm 100yr Pre Saddler | |
| 201 | 0.47 | 212.00 | 12.50 | 2.8000 SCS_Type_II_S | 97.1mm_100yr PostCtyRd | |
| 202 | 3.41 | 1100.00 | 32.70 | 2.5000 SCS_Type_II_9 | 97.1mm_100yr SU1 | |

* * * * * * * * * * *

Node Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * *

| Name | Туре | Invert
Elev. | Max.
Depth | Ponded
Area | External
Inflow |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Post Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| PostCtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Pre Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 346.75 | 2.75 | 0.0 | |

* * * * * * * * * * * *

Link Summary

| * * * * * * * * * * * * | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------|-------|------|--------|-------------|----|
| Name | From Node | To Node | Тур | pe | Leng | th %Sl | ope Roughne | ss |
| OR1 | SU1 | Post_Saddle | C OR | IFICE | | | | |
| * * * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * * * | | | | | | | |
| Cross Sectior
*********** | n Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | Full | Full | Hyd. | Max. | No. of | Full | |

| Conduit | Shape | Depth | Aroa | Pad | Width | Barrole | Flow |
|---------|-------|-------|------|-----|-------|---------|------|

Analysis Options **************** Flow Units LPS

Process Models:

| Rainfall/Runoff
RDII
Snowmelt
Groundwater
Flow Routing
Ponding Allowed
Water Quality
Infiltration Method
Flow Routing Method
Surcharge Method
Starting Date
Ending Date
Antecedent Dry Days
Report Time Step
Wet Time Step
Dry Time Step
Routing Time Step
Variable Time Step
Maximum Trials
Number of Threads | YES
NO
NO
NO
YES
NO
CURVE_NUMBER
DYNWAVE
EXTRAN
12/02/2023 00:00:00
12/03/2023 00:00:00
0.0
00:01:00
00:05:00
00:05:00
5.00 sec
YES
8
1 |
|---|---|
| Head Tolerance | 0.001524 m |

| * | Volume | Depth |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mm |
| * | | |
| Total Precipitation | 0.753 | 97.100 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Infiltration Loss | 0.426 | 54.944 |
| Surface Runoff | 0.326 | 42.034 |
| Final Storage | 0.001 | 0.140 |
| Continuity Error (%) | -0.019 | |
| | | |

| * | Volume | Volume |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| * | | |
| Dry Weather Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Wet Weather Inflow | 0.327 | 3.267 |
| Groundwater Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Outflow | 0.327 | 3.266 |
| Flooding Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Exfiltration Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Initial Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Final Stored Volume | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Continuity Error (%) | 0.000 | |

| Routing | Time | Step | Summary | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|------|-----|
| * * * * * * * * | * * * * * | * * * * * | ****** | | | |
| Minimum | Time | Step | | : | 4.50 | sec |
| Average | Time | Step | | : | 5.00 | sec |

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 100 YEAR DESIGN STORM

| Maximum Time Step | : | 5.00 | sec |
|-----------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| % of Time in Steady State | : | 0.00 | |
| Average Iterations per Step | : | 2.00 | |
| % of Steps Not Converging | : | 0.00 | |
| Time Step Frequencies | : | | |
| 5.000 - 3.155 sec | : | 100.00 | 90 |
| 3.155 - 1.991 sec | : | 0.00 | 8 |
| 1.991 - 1.256 sec | : | 0.00 | 90 |
| 1.256 - 0.792 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| 0.792 - 0.500 sec | : | 0.00 | 00 |
| | | | |

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

| Deak Dunoff | Total | Total | Total | Total | Imperv | Perv | Total | Total |
|------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| Ieak Kunoli | Precip | Runon | Evap | Infil | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff |
| Runoff Coeff
Subcatchment | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | mm | 10^6]tr |
| LPS | | | | | | | | 10 0 101 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | 97.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70.15 | 0.78 | 25.98 | 26.76 | 0.29 |
| 62.51 0.276 | 0.5.4.0 | | | 65 Q.C | | | 04 60 | |
| 102
183 12 0 325 | 97.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 65.26 | 4.76 | 26.84 | 31.60 | 0.88 |
| 201 | 97.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54.25 | 12.14 | 30.68 | 42.82 | 0.20 |
| 86.37 0.441 | 97 10 | 0 00 | 0 00 | 41 73 | 31 76 | 23 60 | 55 36 | 1 89 |
| 873.54 0.570 | 57.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41.75 | 51.70 | 23.00 | 55.50 | 1.05 |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Depth Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| Node | Туре | Average
Depth
Meters | Maximum
Depth
Meters | Maximum
HGL
Meters | Time
Occu
days | of Max
urrence
hr:min | Reported
Max Depth
Meters |
|--------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Post_Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| PostCtyRd | OU'I'F'ALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre_CtyRd | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| Pre_Saddler | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| SU1 | STORAGE | 0.50 | 2.02 | 348.77 | 0 | 04:01 | 2.02 |

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Inflow Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| Node | Туре | Maximum
Lateral
Inflow
LPS | Maximum
Total
Inflow
LPS | Time
Occu
days | of Max
rrence
hr:min | Lateral
Inflow
Volume
10^6 ltr | Total
Inflow
Volume
10^6 ltr | Flow
Balance
Error
Percent |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Post_Saddler
PostCtyRd
Pre_CtyRd
Pre_Saddler
SU1 | OUTFALL
OUTFALL
OUTFALL
OUTFALL
STORAGE | 0.00
86.37
62.51
183.12
873.54 | 70.91
86.37
62.51
183.12
873.54 | 0
0
0
0
0 | 04:01
03:06
03:06
03:06
03:00 | 0
0.202
0.295
0.88
1.89 | 1.89
0.202
0.295
0.88
1.89 | 0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000 |

Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

SADDLER STREET DEVELOPMENT - SWM MODELLING - 100 YEAR DESIGN STORM

No nodes were flooded.

Average
VolumeAvg
PcntEvap
PcntExfil
PcntMaximum
VolumeMax
PcntTime of Max
OccurrenceMaximum
Outflow
Outflow
DutflowStorage Unit1000 m³FullLossLoss1000 m³Fulldays hr:minLPSSU10.24113.10.00.01.12761.4004:0170.91

| Outfall Node | Flow | Avg | Max | Total |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| | Freq | Flow | Flow | Volume |
| | Pcnt | LPS | LPS | 10^6 ltr |
| Post_Saddler | 99.77 | 21.92 | 70.91 | 1.890 |
| PostCtyRd | 44.68 | 5.22 | 86.37 | 0.202 |
| Pre_CtyRd | 98.04 | 3.48 | 62.51 | 0.295 |
| Pre_Saddler | 99.98 | 10.18 | 183.12 | 0.880 |
| System | 85.62 | 40.80 | 394.48 | 3.266 |

*

Link Flow Summary

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

| | | Maximum | Time of Max | Maximum | Max/ | Max/ |
|------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|------|-------|
| | | Flow | Occurrence | Veloc | Full | Full |
| Link | Туре | LPS | days hr:min | m/sec | Flow | Depth |
| OR1 | ORIFICE | 70.91 | 0 04:01 | | | 1.00 |

| | Adjusted | | | Fract | ion of | Time | in Flo | w Clas | s | |
|---------|-------------------|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Conduit | /Actual
Length | Dry | Up
Dry | Down
Dry | Sub
Crit | Sup
Crit | Up
Crit | Down
Crit | Norm
Ltd | Inlet
Ctrl |

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Wed Jan 17 20:49:17 2024 Analysis ended on: Wed Jan 17 20:49:18 2024 Total elapsed time: 00:00:01

Appendix C

BACKGROUND INFORMATION PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES



PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Project Nos. 6001, 1855, 6006

| Date: | Wednesday, August 17, 2022 | |
|------------|---|---|
| Time: | 10:00 a.m. | |
| Place: | Durham Arena | |
| Attendees: | Ms. Lorelie Spencer
Mr. Scott Taylor
Mr. Michael Cook
Mr. Jason Tremble
Mr. Donald Tremble
Mr. Travis Burnside
Ms. Dana Kieffer | Municipality of West Grey
County of Grey
SVCA
Proponent
Proponent
Cobide Engineering
Cobide Engineering |

Item

1. 221/229 Bruce St. S. Re-Development

Proposal:

A consent to create one residential lot, fronting onto Saddler St., 1 fourplex, fronting onto Bruce St. and 4 Consents to make the units freehold. A 40-unit apartment on the retained.

Applications needed:

- Consent for Saddler St. lot
- Rezone to R1
 - Minimum size reduction
- 4 consents for Bruce St. fourplex
- Rezone property to R3 to permit townhouses and apartment
 - Several site-specific permissions for the townhouses including: minimum lot size, maximum coverage, interior side yard
 - o Potential site-specific amendment for height of the apartment building

Deliverables:

| Required Information | Details | Action |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Feasibility Study | Need elevation study, <mark>a</mark>
maximum permitted depth | Cobide, to be included in |



PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Project Nos. 6001, 1855, 6006

| | of 0.8 m for regional storm
on Bruce St. to ensure safe
access | submission |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Record of Site Condition | Former contractors' yard, a
phase 1 & 2 ESA has been
completed, the consultant
will register a RSC | Proponent/ Cobide, details to be included in submission |
| Functional Servicing Report | Municipality would like to
see projected flows for
sewage to ensure capacity | West Grey/ Cobide,
discussion prior to
submission |
| Stormwater Management | Cobide requesting clearance
from SVCA that the property
not have quantity control
due to the direct outlet to
the Saugeen River, but still
propose to control quality | Cobide to follow up with E.
Downing, SVCA |
| Planning Justification
Report | Required | Cobide, to be included in submission |
| Holding Removal | Property has a H-Holding for
a feasibility study for
residential development | West Grey/ Cobide |

Other Notes:

- S. Taylor confirmed a condo exemption following a zoning by-law amendment would be acceptable.
- S. Taylor recommended engaging Saugeen Ojibway Nation
- L. Spencer mentioned that a turning radius for emergency services should be shown on the drawings at the time of Site Plan Approval.

2. Part Park Lot 5, Plan 505, Park St. Development

Proposal:



PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Project Nos. 6001, 1855, 6006

Local Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment to permit a 59 unit apartment, 1 fourplex and 1 fiveplex (to be freehold)

419 Park: fiveplex, freehold

Applications needed:

- Local Official Plan Amendment from FD to Residential.
- Zoning By-law Amendment from FD to R3
 - Reductions for lot size and interior side yards, increase in coverage for proposed townhouses
- 5 Consents for 419 Park St.
- 9 Consents to create freehold townhouses located on adjacent parcel
- Rezone retained property to R3-H
 - Apartment building is conceptual at this time as more land in the area may be acquired.
 - Sewage allocation will need to be confirmed based on unit counts

Deliverables:

| Required Information | Details | Action |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Dry-Flood Proofing | Units 1-5 may need to be
dry-flood proofed at time of
construction depending on
design.
Proponent, to decid
building design at ti
construction and ob
SVCA permit as nee | |
| Landfill | The property is 393 m from the landfill. | West Grey to provide
details on landfill study
Cobide to potentially
confirm suitability of site for
residential development
based on information |
| Functional Servicing Report | Municipality would like to
see projected flows for
sewage to ensure capacity | West Grey/ Cobide,
discussion prior to
submission |
| Stormwater Management | Required | Cobide, to be included in |



PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Project Nos. 6001, 1855, 6006

| | | submission |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Planning Justification | Include notes on
compatibility between the
townhouses and the
retained. Potential fencing
required. Address servicing | Cobide, to be included in submission |

Other Notes:

- M. Cook confirmed an EIS was not required
- M. Cook confirmed safe access
- L. Spencer confirmed two fees will be required since they're two different properties.

3. Lambton/ Saddler St. Development

Proposal:

Plan of Subdivision to create 28 freehold townhouse units. Plan will include a Condominium block with 30 smaller home units on a private road.

Applications needed:

- Draft Plan of Subdivision
- Rezone to R3
 - Minimum size lot reduction
 - Minimum building size reduction for condo block
 - Potential lot coverage reduction
- Plan of Condominium Exemption

Deliverables:

| Required Information | Details | Action |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Grey County Highways
exemption | Proposed new entrance
onto Lambton St. (County
Road 4) is within 400m of
Rock St. | Grey County, to confirm this
acceptable
Grey County to confirm if
road widening required |



PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Project Nos. 6001, 1855, 6006

| Traffic Study | More than 50 units requires | Cobide, to be included in submission |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| EIS | Completed | Cobide, to be included in submission |
| Archeological study | Completed | Cobide, to be included in submission |
| Functional Servicing Report | Municipality would like to
see projected flows for
sewage to ensure capacity | West Grey/ Cobide,
discussion prior to
submission |
| Stormwater Management | Required | Cobide, to be included in submission |
| Planning Justification
Report | To address compatibility between development and adjacent gravel pit | Cobide, to be included in submission |
| WHPA Clearance | Property is within a WHPA, clearance needed | Cobide, to be included in submission |

Other Notes:

Appendix D

DESIGN DETAILS OIL GRIT SEPARATOR (OGS)

| Hydro First Defense [®] - HC | • | | | | | | Hy | |
|--|---|------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Rev. 9.6 | | | | | Net | Annual Remo | val Model: FD- | 5HC |
| Project Name: Saddler Street
Street: Saddler Street
Province: ON | Report Date:
City:
Country: | Durham
Canada | | Paste | Intensity ⁽¹⁾ | Fraction of
Rainfall ⁽¹⁾ | FD-5HC
Removal
Efficiency ⁽²⁾ | Weighted Net
Annual
Efficiency |
| Designer: Travis Burnside | emali: | | | | (mm/hr) | (%) | (%) | (%) |
| Tootmont Parameters: | | | | | 0.50 | 10.1% | 90.0% | 9.9% |
| Structure ID: OGS | | RESUL | TS SUM | MARY | 1.00 | 10.7 % | 88.5% | 9.0 <i>%</i> |
| TSS Goal: 80 % Removal | | Model | TSS | Volume | 2 00 | 8.4% | 86.1% | 7.2% |
| TSS Particle Size: Fine | | FD-3HC | 74.2% | 88.1% | 2.50 | 6.6% | 84.3% | 5.6% |
| Area: 3.4 ha | | FD-4HC | 78.2% | 95.9% | 3.00 | 6.2% | 82.9% | 5.1% |
| Percent Impervious: 33% | | FD-5HC | 81.9% | 98.3% | 3.60 | 4.1% | 81.5% | 3.3% |
| Rational C value: 0.66 Calc. Cn | | FD-6HC | 84.3% | 98.9% | 4.10 | 4.2% | 80.6% | 3.4% |
| Rainfall Station: Owen Sound | MAP | FD-8HC | 88.4% | 98.9% | 4.60 | 3.7% | 79.7% | 2.9% |
| Peak Storm Flow: 158 L/s | | - | - | • | 5.10 | 3.8% | 78.9% | 3.0% |
| | | | | | 6.40 | 6.4% | 77.3% | 4.9% |
| Model Specification: | | | | | 7.60 | 4.6% | 76.1% | 3.5% |
| | | | | | 8.90 | 3.3% | 75.0% | 2.5% |
| Model: FD-5HC | | | | | 10.20 | 2.4% | 74.0% | 1.8% |
| Diameter: 1500 mm | | | | | 11.40 | 2.6% | 73.2% | 1.9% |
| | | | | | 12.70 | 1.5% | 72.5% | 1.1% |
| Peak Flow Capacity: 566.00 L/s | | | | | 15.20 | 2.1% | 71.3% | 1.5% |
| Sediment Storage: 0.84 m ³ | | | | | 19.10 | 2.3% | 69.8% | 1.6% |
| <i>Oil Storage:</i> 1136.00 ∟ | | | | | 25.40 | 3.9% | 68.0% | 2.7% |
| | | | | | 38.10 | 1.4% | 65.5% | 0.9% |
| Installation Configuration: | | | | | 50.80 | 0.6% | 63.7% | 0.4% |
| Placement: Online | | | | | | | | |
| Outlet Pipe Size: 375 mm OK | | | | | | | | |
| Inlet Pipe 1 Size: 375 mm OK | | | | | Total Net | Annual Remo | val Efficiency: | 81.9% |
| Inlet Pipe 2 Size: mm OK | | | | | Total Anr | ual Runoff Vo | lume Treated: | 98.3% |
| Inlet Pipe 3 Size: mm OK | | | | | Rainfall data base
Owen Sound, Ontario | d on 37 years of rainfa
, Canada. | II data for Canada Stat | on Owen Sound, |
| Rim Level: 350,420 m Calc Invs. | Based on third party verified data and appoximating the removal of a PSD similar to
the STC Fine distribution | | | l of a PSD similar to | | | | |
| Invert Pipe 1: 348.220 m OK | 3. Rainfall adjusted to 5 min peak intensity based on hourly average. | | | je. | | | | |
| m
Invert Pipe 2: m
Invert Pipe 3: m | | | | | | | | |
| Designer Notes: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | ****** |

Hydro First Defense® - HC





All drawing elevations are metres.

FD-5HC Specification

| 1 | Vortex Chamber Diameter | <u>1500 mm</u> |
|---|---|---------------------|
| 2 | Inlet Pipe Diameter | <u>375</u> mm |
| 3 | Oil Storage Capacity | 1136.00 L |
| 4 | Min. Provided Sediment Storage Capacity | 0.84 m ³ |
| 5 | Outlet Pipe Diameter | 375 mm |
| 6 | Height(Final Grade to Outlet Invert) | 2200 mm |
| 7 | Sump Depth(Outlet Invert to Sump) | <u>1800 mm</u> |
| | Total Depth | 4000 mm |

| Notes: | | |
|--------|------|--|
| |
 | |
| |
 | |